1	DAYLE ELIESON United States Attorney	
$_2$	District of Nevada BRANDON C. JAROCH	
3	Assistant United States Attorney 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	00
4	(702) 388-5053 / Fax: (702) 388-5087 brandon.jaroch@usdoj.gov	
5	Counsel for the United States	
6	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT O	F NEVADA
8	-oO	00-
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 2:18-cr-00208-RFB-CWH
10	Plaintiff,	Stipulation to Continue Response Deadline (First Request)
11	vs.	·
$_{12}$	TIANNA CORDOVA,	
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	TT IS HEREBY STIPULATE.	D AND AGREED, by and between Dayle
	Elieson, United States Attorney, Brand	on C. Jaroch, Assistant United States
16	Attorney, counsel for the United States	of America, and Ryan Norwood, AFPD,
17	counsel for TIANNA CORDOVA:	
18	THAT THE GOVERNMENT	'S DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO
19	DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS (ECF No.'s 61	, 64, 65, 66, and 67) currently scheduled
20	for October 25, 26, and 29, 2018, be contin-	ued until November 2, 2018.
21	The Government needs additions	al time to respond to the motions based on
22	the number of issues raised.	
23		

1	2.	The parties do not foresee this brief continuance to have any impact on the
2	current t	rial setting.
3	3.	The parties agree to the continuance.
4	4.	The denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of
5	justice.	
6	5.	This is the first request to continue the response deadline.
7		
8		Dated this the 17th day of October, 2018.
9		Respectfully Submitted,
10		DAYLE ELIESON
11		United States Attorney
12		/s/ Brandon C. Jaroch
13		BRANDON C. JAROCH Assistant United States Attorney
$14 \mid$		
15		<u>/s/ Ryan Norwood</u> RYAN NORWOOD, AFPD
16		Counsel for TIANNA CORDOVA
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

-oOo-

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Case No.: 2:18-cr-00208-RFB-CWH

Plaintiff,

ORDER

vs.

TIANNA CORDOVA,

Defendant.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of Counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- 1. Counsel for the Government needs additional time to in which to file responses to the pending motions.
 - 2. The parties agree to the continuance.
- 3. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
 - 4. This is the first request to the response deadline.

For all of the above-stated reasons, the end of justice would best be served by a continuance of the deadline to respond to the Defendant's motions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice would be served by granting said continuance since failure to grant said continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice and would

1

2

4

 $5 \parallel$

 $_{6}\parallel$

7

•

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23

deny the parties herein sufficient time and opportunity to effectively and thoroughly present their position on the motions, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the Government's deadline to respond to the Defendant's motions, currently scheduled for October 25, 26, and 29, 2018, is vacated and continued to November 2, 2018.

DATED: October 17, 2018.

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE